Passed
25/11

Secrt. II-27—37,004—13-11-45.

Development (1946) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. No. 4193

Dated 9-11-1946

[Despatch Abstract.]

Agriculture — Stations - Agricultural Research station Siruguppa - Bellary District - Tungabhadra Experimento - Deputy Director of Agriculture and staff - Sanction Renewed

(original in CM. 4947 A I/45)

Extract of letter No. K.1. 344/45 dated 16-10- 1946 from the Director of Agriculture, Madras to the Additional Secretary to Government, Development Department.

Sub:- Gazetted Officers - Madras Agricultural Service - Deput Director of Agriculture, Siruguppa - Appointment - Proposals.

4. The sanction for the employment of the staff sanctioned in G.O No. Ms. 2823 Dev. dated 9-8-1945 may be revived as the sta was not appointed pending appointment of the Deputy Director.

C.No. 3717 AI/46. Sub:- Agriculture - Stations - Agricultural Research Station, Siruguppa - Bellary District - Tungabhadra Experiments - Deputy Director of Agriculture and Staff - Sanction - Renewed.

Read again:- G.O No. Ms. 2823 Dev. dated 19-7-1945.

Read also:- From the D.A, No. K.1. 344/46 d: 16-10-46.

ORDER No. Ms. 4193 Dev. dated 9-11-1946.

Under Article 50 of the Madras Financial Code, the sanction accorded in G.O No. 2823 Dev. dated 19-7-1945 for the employment of the following staff for a period of one year from date of employment is renewed for another year:

(1) One Deputy Director of Agriculture on Rs 200-700 p.m. with a special pay of Rs 50/- p.m.

(2) One Upper division clerk on Rs 55-70 p.m; and

(3) One Typist on Rs 30-55 p.m; and

(4) Two peons on Rs 12-17 p.m each.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 24 OCT 1946 57580 FINANCE DEPARTMENT

To the D.A.

" A.G thro' Finance

" Bd. of Rev.

Copy to P.W.D.

" Collector of Bellary.

Note.

Dev. 2.0m 3717 AI/46-1 24-X-46

Finance may see for acceptance.

2 Finance (EII)

Please see the extract of the D.A.'s reference at page 1 C.F. It is not clear therefrom whether renewed sanction is required for the sub-ordinate staff only or also for the entire staff sanctioned in G.O. No. 2823 Dev'd 19-7-45, including the Dy. Director.

3. The expenditure on the original proposal was treated as a 'New service' and orders were taken in full circulation evidently because the extra cost (Rs. 14800 p.a.) of the additional Asstt. for[illegible] exceeded the limit of Rs 5000/- per annum. Sanction for a supplementary estimate does not however appear to have been obtained. As the limit for treating expenditure as 'new service' has since been raised to Rs 20,000, it does not seem necessary to treat this as a 'New service', and obtain sanction for a supplementary estimate of expenditure. However, H.P.M should see as the cost exceeds Rs. 3000 p.a.

4. Deptt may incidentally be requested to consider whether this proposal will satisfy the conditions prescribed in Finance Memo No. 34495 Estt-I d. 7.10.46 for introduction of schemes in the current year.

Third Fin (Estt): [illegible]

Dev. Fin. N.O. No. ST580 E II-1

83556

THIRD ASST. SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
4 - NOV - 1946
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

2 2

Dev. Dept.

Finance Notes on page 2 above.

2. With reference to Finance note at [illegible] on page 2 above, it is submitted that as the post of the Special Deputy Director of Agriculture was not filled up hitherto, the sanction accorded in G.O No. 2823 Dev. dated 19-7-1945 was not acted upon in respect of any of the posts sanctioned therein. The renewal under Article 50 of the Madras Financial Code is therefore required in respect of the entire staff including the Special Deputy Director of Agriculture. There seems no need to refer the matter for to the D. for clarification.

3. The post of the Special Deputy Director of Agriculture was not filled up till now, as the only officer considered suitable to the post (Dr. A. Subba Rao) was not available for appointment on account of his deputation to the United Kingdom for the under technical training scheme. Dr. Subba Rao has since returned and is on leave at present It has been decided to appoint him as Deputy Director of Agriculture, Siruguppa on the expiry of his leave on 13-11-1946. The need for the employment of the Deputy Director will be found at 'N' on page 5 C.F. of (Y). As the scheme is connected with the Tungabhadra Project, it would appear that it satisfies the criteria laid down in the Finance U.O at (C) to justify its introduction in the current year.

4. As the scheme has been accepted in full circulation once, and the renewal of the sanction is only a routine procedure to overcome account difficulties, it is for consideration whether the case should seems unnecessary to circulated to H.P.M again.

Finance may see again.

Seems but unnecessary

6/11/46

4-11-46

6/11/46 AOS

Finance (E II)

Dev no m 29711/A7/46-2

6.11.46.

60209/E II

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT. 6 NOV 1946 FINANCE DEPT.

(Previous Finance note on page 2 above)

M on page 2 above. In the circumstances explained in para 2 of Dept's note above Finance may agree that the matter need not be referred to D.A. for clarification.

2/

2/ As regards this department suggestion that the ~~case~~ may be circulated to H.P.M as the ~~estimated~~ cost exceeds the limit of Rs 3000/- Development have stated that it is unnecessary to adopt this procedure. Para 4 on p 3. Please see below A Fin 6/11/46

Finance Dept. may please pass orders whether ~~this~~ proposal may be circulated to H.P.M.

3/ As Development have stated that the scheme is connected with the Tungabhadra Project, Finance need not object to the staff being employed in this current year. Cf. Fin's note on p 3 ante Fin 6/11/46 Thro' Fin (BG) 7.11.46

'A' above with reference to para 4 of the Dev. Dept. note on Page 3 ante, the proposal was accepted in full circulation under Sec 93 situation and has not been approved by the present visit. As the proposal involves a recurring expenditure of Rs 14,880 per annum which is not a trivial amount, it is necessary that the case should be circulated to H.P.M. Ac 2 DS. Dev. ⊗ p 8 ante of (Y)

Fin 6/11/46 DS.

Fin No. 60209 ED-1 7.11.46

Dept.

84692

THIRD ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT 7 NOV 1946

pp 15-16 Cf (Y)

SUMMARY.

The file relates to the renewal of the sanction accorded in G.O No. Ms. 2823 Dev. dated 19-7-1945 for the employment of a Special Deputy Director of Agriculture at Siruguppa and staff.

2. In G.O No. Ms. 2823 Dev. dated 19-7-1945, sanction was accorded to the employment of a Special Deputy Director of Agriculture and staff for work connected with the Tungabhadra project. The D.A. in August 45 submitted proposals for the appointment of Dr. A. Subba Rao, Soil Physicist, Coimbatore to the post of the Special Deputy Director of Agriculture. Before orders were issued, Dr. Subba Rao had to be relieved to proceed to the United Kingdom on deputation under the technical training scheme. Dr. Subba Rao has since returned from the United Kingdom and is on leave till 10-11-1946. It is proposed to appoint him as Deputy Director of Agriculture, Siruguppa on return from leave.

pp 15-16 Cf (Y)

Cno 49472 A I/45 (not put up)

Separately as Cno 49472 A I/45 (not put up)

As however the sanction accorded in G.O No. Ms. 2823 Dev. dated 19-7-1945 has not been acted upon for one year, it has to be renewed under Article 50 of the Madras Financial Code. Finance have no objection.

3. For orders whether the sanction for the employment of a Special Deputy Director of Agriculture at Siruguppa and staff accorded in G.O No. Ms. 2823 Dev. dated 19-7-1946 will be renewed for another year and the draft order on page 1 above will be issued.

[Issued as G.O.Ms. 4193 Dev. dated 29-11-46]